

Comparison of Schedule 2 - Model Law vs Proposed Law

Old Item	New Item	Old Clause	New Clause	Old Description	New Description	Old Penalty	New Penalty
1	1	2.1(2)(a)	No Change	Failing to pay fee or charge	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
2	2	2.1(2)(b)	No Change	Failing to ensure lawful use of receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
3	3	2.2(1)	No Change	Depositing non-collectable waste in a receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
4	4	2.2(2)	No Change	Depositing waste in another receptacle without consent	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
5	5	2.3(1)	No Change	Exceeding weight capacity of a general waste receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
6	6	2.3(2) and (3)	No Change	Depositing unauthorised waste in a general waste receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
7	7	2.4(a)	2.4(1)(a)	Depositing unauthorised waste in a recycling waste receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
8	8	2.4(b) and (c)	2.4(1)(b), (c) and (d)	Exceeding weight capacity of a recycling waste receptacle	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
9	9	2.5(a)	2.5(1)(a)	Depositing unauthorized waste in an organic waste receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
10	10	2.5(b) and (c)	2.5(1)(b), (c) and (d)	Exceeding weight capacity of an organic waste receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
11	11	2.6(3)	No Change	Failing to comply with a direction concerning placement or removal of a receptacle	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
12	12	2.7(a)	No Change	Failing to keep a receptacle in the required location	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
	13	-	2.7(b)	-	Failing to comply with a notice to keep a receptacle in the required location	-	\$ 250
13	14	2.7(b)	2.7(c)	Failing to place a receptacle for collection in a lawful position	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
	15	-	2.7(d)	-	Overfilling a receptacle	-	\$ 250
14	16	2.7(c)	2.7(e)	Failing to notify of a lost, stolen, damaged or defective receptacle	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
15	17	2.9(a)	No Change	Damaging, destroying or interfering with a receptacle	No Change	\$ 400	No Change
16	18	2.9(b)	No Change	Removing a receptacle from premises	No Change	\$ 400	No Change
17	19	2.10(1)	No Change	Failing to comply with a term or condition of verge waste collection	No Change	\$ 400	No Change
18	20	2.10(2)	No Change	Removing waste for commercial purposes	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
19	21	2.10(3)	No Change	Disassembling or leaving in disarray waste deposited for collection	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
20	22	3.1(a)	No Change	Failing to provide a sufficient number of receptacles	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
21	23	3.1(b)	No Change	Failing to keep a receptacle clean and in a good condition and repair	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
22	24	3.1(c)(i)	No Change	Failing to prevent fly breeding and vectors of disease in a receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
23	25	3.1(c)(ii)	No Change	Failing to prevent the emission of offensive odours from a receptacle	No Change	\$ 350	No Change
24	26	3.1(c)(iii)	No Change	Allowing a receptacle to cause a nuisance	No Change	\$ 350	No Change

25	27	3.1(d)	No Change	Failing to comply with a direction to clean, disinfect or deodorise receptacle	No Change	\$ 300	No Change
26	28	3.2(1)	No Change	Unauthorised removal of waste from premises	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
27	29	3.2(2)	No Change	Removing waste from a receptacle without approval	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
-	30	-	3.3	-	Depositing household commercial or other waste into, or removing waste from, the receptacle provided for the use of the general public in a public place without approval	-	\$250
28	31	4.3(2)	No Change	Failing to comply with a sign or direction	No Change	\$ 500	No Change
29	32	4.3(4)	No Change	Failing to comply with a direction to leave	No Change	\$ 500	No Change
30	33	4.4(1)	No Change	Disposing waste without payment of fee or charge	No Change	\$ 500	No Change
31	34	4.5(1)	No Change	Depositing waste contrary to sign or direction	No Change	\$ 500	No Change
32	35	4.6(1)(a)	No Change	Removing waste without authority in a waste facility	No Change	\$ 250	No Change
33	36	4.6(1)(b)	No Change	Depositing toxic, poisonous or hazardous waste at a waste facility	No Change	\$ 500	No Change
34	37	4.6(1)(c)	No Change	Lighting a fire in a waste facility	No Change	\$ 300	\$500
35	38	4.6(1)(d)	No Change	Removing or interfering with any flora in a waste facility	No Change	\$ 300	No Change
36	39	4.6(1)(e)	No Change	Removing or interfering with any fauna without approval in a waste facility	No Change	\$ 300	No Change
37	40	4.6(1)(f)	No Change	Damaging, defacing or destroying any building, equipment, plant or property within a waste facility	No Change	\$500	No Change
38	41	4.6(2)	No Change	Acting in an abusive or threatening manner	No Change	\$300	\$500
-	42	-	5.1(a)	-	Failing to provide waste disposal bins with sufficient capacity at a building site	-	\$300
-	43	-	5.1(b)	-	Failing to sufficiently cover the waste disposal bin	-	\$300
-	44	-	5.1(c)	-	Failing to keep adjoining verge or public place free of waste or offensive matter at all times	-	\$300
-	45	-	5.1(d)	-	Failing to remove waste, offensive matter or waste disposal bins upon completion of building works	-	\$300

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
1	Midland (Resident)	The fines for dodgy builders are not enough... \$300 for a builder not having a bin? It cost more than that to have a bin on site. So you may as well take the risk and get a fine. Should start with \$1000 for businesses.	Theme - Infringements The modified penalty is intended as an initial compliance measure where a builder fails to provide a waste bin. If compliance is not achieved, the City may pursue enforcement through the courts, with conviction penalties of up to \$10,000 and, for continuing offences, up to \$500 per day.
2	Midvale (Resident)	How can the shire fine anyone in good faith when your bin is put out the night before and people in the street and others going down the street stick their waste in any household bin that isn't full. To be fined \$350 for something that could be out of my control is absolutely absurd.	Theme - Infringements An infringement notice cannot be issued without sufficient evidence to demonstrate both that an offence has been committed and who has allegedly committed it. Infringement notices cannot be issued based on speculation or in circumstances where there is insufficient evidence to establish the person responsible for the offence. A person who receives an infringement notice may elect to challenge the infringement and have the matter heard in the Magistrates Court.
3	Jane Brook (Resident)	In reference to the proposed rate rise I see no reason to raise rates above the rate of inflation. I am a pensioner and whilst I do have my own home I am on a fixed income and a rate rise above the rate of inflation seems like a slap in the face. We stay at home to save petrol and are careful of our expenses so for our council to pick a seemingly arbitrary number out of the air seems unconscionable in the current circumstances.	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law The contents of this submission fall outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law.
4	Aveley (Resident)	This is the most ludicrous thing I have ever heard in my life. We will be getting the fogo system soon, and with a dog poo, cat litter, babies nappies and 3 people in the household who use sanitary items, how do you propose our bin doesn't smell after 2 weeks of being collected? There have already been changes to what can go into the fogo bin etc without people being notified in other councils. You are supposed to be helping people in your	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law While the submission relates to waste management, the matters raised are operational or service delivery issues that are outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law. Information to assist residents with managing bin odours is available in the City's FAQs on its website.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		electorate, not kicking them when they are down in a cost of living crisis! Disgusting if this passes through.	
5	Bellevue (Resident)	A majority of these laws are unwarranted, impossible to prove exorbitant fines that look to be aimed at the low and medium income people who would not be able to afford to fight any false infringement put to them. Such as a bin put out night eve of bin collection on public/front verge, all public persons have access to these bins and may contaminate or otherwise interfere with the bin in a mischievous manner causing the resident to defend themselves from a possible allegation against these laws. Shame on the corrupt city managers for fear mongering and bullying the ratepayer.	Theme - Infringements An infringement notice cannot be issued without sufficient evidence to demonstrate both that an offence has been committed and who has allegedly committed it. Infringement notices cannot be issued based on speculation or in circumstances where there is insufficient evidence to establish the person responsible for the offence. A person who receives an infringement notice may elect to challenge the infringement and have the matter heard in the Magistrates Court.
6	Henley Brook (Resident)	The Fogo system red bin being bi weekly will make it impossible for those of us with pets and nappies to prevent odours.	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law While the submission relates to waste management, the matters raised are operational or service delivery issues that are outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law. Information to assist residents with managing bin odours is available in the City's FAQs on its website.
7	Ellenbrook (Resident)	Your overfilled bin fines are insane. Are you guys smoking the pipe? The crack heads on my street must be supplying you with gear. Get your heads out of your arses.	Theme - Infringements When determining an appropriate penalty, it is important that the amount exceeds the cost of the non-compliant behaviour and/or the corrective action. If the penalty is lower than the cost of compliance, offenders may be more willing to accept the risk of non-compliance, as there is little incentive to comply with the requirements.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
8	Ellenbrook (Resident)	These new proposed laws about dust bins are absolutely ludicrous. Once the bins are placed outside the owners residence, anyone can tamper with the bin and add what they feel like, who's going to police the bins once they are outside the owners residence. More thought needs to be made on the proposed new laws which the City of Swan are planning to initiate.	Theme - Infringements An infringement notice cannot be issued without sufficient evidence to demonstrate both that an offence has been committed and who has allegedly committed it. Infringement notices cannot be issued based on speculation or in circumstances where there is insufficient evidence to establish the person responsible for the offence. A person who receives an infringement notice may elect to challenge the infringement and have the matter heard in the Magistrates Court.
9	Bullsbrook (Resident)	The residents should be able to choose if they want fogo it shouldn't be forced upon residents The general bin should still be emptied weekly Maybe bins should be locked so the home owner has control over the waste disposal otherwise it's unfair to give residents fines but I guess you really don't care about acting fair and equitable	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law While the submission relates to waste management, the matters raised are operational or service delivery issues that are outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law.
10	Ellenbrook (Resident)	Due to the limited verge space in my laneway, I have very few safe placement options without obstructing garage access, letterbox, or utility boxes. Neighbouring bins are also often placed directly adjacent to mine. Could you please advise the acceptable placement requirements for this situation and whether any flexibility applies?	Theme - Presentation If community members believe they are unable to present their bins in a compliant manner, they are encouraged to contact the City for assistance. A City officer can provide advice and work with residents to identify a suitable solution. Staff will make contact with this resident directly.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
11	Upper Swan (Resident)	I have had another read of the proposed local waste law and note the following 1 The laws repeal a previous 2005 law. This is a long time between reviews when there has been a huge change and growth in the City of Swan. This is poor governance by the City of Swan 2 There needs to be greater emphasis on management and collection of illegal dumping and clear expensive consequences for the guilty parties. 3 The days that the recycling centres operate need increasing and that may result in less illegal dumping along Railway Pde in particular. 4 The fees for placing rubbish in the incorrect bins cannot be enforced as there is no way it can be proved as anyone can put rubbish in someone else's bin and how many staff will it take to police this and at what cost. 5 The rubbish spread around the community from building sites where the skip bins are inadequate, do not have lids and rules are not enforced by builders or the City of Swan is an area that can be enforced and will generate income if the fines were increased to reflect the issue that currently exists. This we have seen first hand and exist throughout every new housing development in the City. All illegal dumping needs to be collected quickly by the City of Swan as the piles are added to by others.	Theme - Illegal Dumping, Infringements Littering and illegal dumping are regulated under the Litter Act 1979 and Litter Regulations 1981. The City acknowledges that recycling centres play an important role in reducing illegal dumping. However, the proposed local law is not the appropriate mechanism to prescribe the operating days or hours of recycling centres, as these are operational matters that may change over time. The City recognises that enforcement of waste-related offences can be challenging. However, the difficulty of enforcement should not prevent the inclusion of provisions that support good waste management practices. Enforcement is typically the final step in the compliance process, with education and communication being the preferred first response. In addition, the increasing availability of both public and private CCTV systems can assist in identifying offenders and supporting enforcement action where appropriate. An infringement notice cannot be issued without sufficient evidence to demonstrate both that an offence has been committed and who has allegedly committed it. Infringement notices cannot be issued based on speculation or in circumstances where there is insufficient evidence to establish the person responsible for the offence. A person who receives an infringement notice may elect to challenge the infringement and have the matter heard in the Magistrates Court. The proposed local law includes penalties intended to promote better waste management practices at building and construction sites. The City notes your comments regarding penalty levels and your view that they should be increased.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
			Illegal dumping remains a significant challenge for the City, particularly given its large geographic area of approximately 1,042 square kilometres. The City works proactively to investigate and remove illegally dumped material and continually reviews its resourcing and service delivery to identify opportunities for improvement. While prompt collection of illegally dumped waste is important, the City must also ensure that collection services do not inadvertently encourage illegal dumping as an alternative to legitimate disposal options, such as bulk verge collections or the use of recycling centres. This has been a challenge experienced by several local governments and is a factor considered when determining service levels
12	Bennett spring (Resident)	A problem of overcrowding results.in over flowing bins. I understand that finding rentals is difficult. However the rent a room gets out of control with high numbers. It puts pressure on all services. Water, liquid waste, rubbish bins, vermin control, pets, and parking with up to 11 cars per house. Cars parked in this manner is highly dangerous and is a real fire risk with large quantities of fuel oil and tyres. It can also be a nice place for rats and mice population to flourish. Oil waste from back yard mechanics has been dumped in waste water drains.	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law While the submission relates to waste management, the matters raised are operational or service delivery issues that are outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
13	Upper Swan (Resident)	OBJECTION The proposed law will create angst among the community – the law is too complex, with too many fines of too higher value and appears to be threatening – ruling with an iron fist! Putting waste into receptables will become an onerous task (having to ensure the correct waste is placed in the correct receptable by the correct time in the correct location) therefore in the long term it will become unsustainable. It will feel like Big Brother is watching every bit of rubbish! Certain groups may be disproportionately affected, including: • large families • multi-generational households • people with disabilities • elderly residents • residents with medical waste needs • people experiencing financial hardship. These households may generate waste volumes that exceed standard service arrangements and may struggle to comply with strict requirements. Some residents may face genuine barriers to compliance, including: • language barriers • literacy challenges • physical disabilities • cognitive impairments • limited access to information technology • social disadvantage. Rather than relying primarily on punitive measures, Council should consider: • graduated compliance responses • additional support services • education before enforcement • assistance for households experiencing genuine	Theme - Disability services, Odours, Infringements, Illegal Dumping The City acknowledges the importance of education and will continue to use education and engagement as the primary approach to achieving compliance. Where education is unsuccessful or repeated non-compliance occurs, enforcement provides an appropriate mechanism to protect public health, safety and the environment. The City provides tailored waste assistance services for residents living with disabilities or medical conditions. Translation services and multilingual educational materials are also available to assist residents from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. The City provides information on its website to assist residents in managing bin odours and pests, as well as guidance on the correct disposal of waste items. Residents are also encouraged to use the City's website, waste guide and the Recycle Right WA website and app to identify the appropriate disposal method for a wide range of materials. The City recognises that enforcement of waste related offences can be challenging. However, this should not prevent the inclusion of provisions that support good waste management practices. Enforcement is generally the final step in the compliance process, with education and communication remaining the preferred first response. Where required, enforcement action will be undertaken in accordance with the City's compliance procedures and relevant work instructions, with appropriate evidence to support any action.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		difficulties. Owner/occupiers are concerned it may be necessary to keep a watch on their receptacles for the entire period the receptacles are placed out for collection – evening, overnight and into the next day - to ensure a less scrupulous resident does not dispose of unlawful waste in someone else's receptables. Whilst owners/occupiers can clean, disinfect, deodorise and apply a residual insecticide to any receptacle, this also raises questions. Considering the strengthen and frequency of easterly winds in some areas, receptacle lids being blown open gives rise to infestation of flies, etc and birds rummaging for food scraps or nesting materials. As there is a seven-day period between each collection for some receptacles and a fourteen-day period between collections of others, how can owners/occupiers ensure their receptacles do not; • Get flies, maggots (for which WA is renowned) taking up residence? • Become odorous even when wrapped food scraps including seafood, shellfish, etc are placed in the receptacle? The proposed law contains little recognition of these challenges. Concerns are expressed as to how the law will be enforced in practice, including evidence requirements and consistency. Many offences created by the law may be difficult to prove, including: • contamination of recycling or organic waste bins • exceeding weight limits • using another person's bin • placing prohibited items in a receptacle • failing to maintain bins correctly. for example, if prohibited waste is found in a recycling bin, it may be	An infringement notice cannot be issued without sufficient evidence to demonstrate both that an offence has been committed and who has allegedly committed it. Infringement notices cannot be issued based on speculation or in circumstances where there is insufficient evidence to establish the person responsible for the offence. A person who receives an infringement notice may elect to challenge the infringement and have the matter heard in the Magistrates Court. The City acknowledges that recycling centres play an important role in reducing illegal dumping. However, the proposed local law is not the appropriate mechanism to prescribe the operating days or hours of recycling centres, as these are operational matters that may change over time. Builder's waste management is addressed under Section 5 of the proposed local law.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		difficult to determine whether it was placed there by the occupier, a visitor, a contractor, or any other person Questions arise regarding: • What do households do with medical waste such as band-Aids, bandages, antiseptic swabs, sanitary items, etc? • What do households do with electronic waste such as an electronic 'mouse'? • How will evidence be collected? • Who will undertake inspections? • What level of proof will be required before issuing infringements? • Will photographic evidence be used? • How will disputes be resolved? • Will there be a cautionary notification advising residents/ratepayers of a perceived breach prior to a fine being issued? We have concerns that enforcement of the laws may be open to interpretation and therefore could be successfully challenged legally with ratepayers footing the bill. Different authorised officers may: • interpret provisions differently • exercise discretion differently • prioritise different issues. Without clear enforcement policies, residents may perceive enforcement as arbitrary or unfair. There is a lack of focus on education with the law relying heavily on penalties and compliance but contains little regarding: • support for vulnerable residents • assistance for residents • community education programs • behaviour change • recycling guidance	

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		Many waste management issues arise because residents are uncertain about: • what belongs in each bin • how contamination affects recycling processes • collection requirements • verge collection rules • disposal options for unusual waste. Perhaps the desired outcome would have a wider reach and greater acceptance if CoS were to offer owners/occupiers regular 'Hints' and 'Advice', for example, a 'Tips on your tip' pop up on the website, in the CoS newsletters and even in letterbox drops informing rather than commanding and threatening. Without education, enforcement alone may not achieve long-term improvements. The law also raises questions about whether sufficient resources exist to support management/enforcement of this law. For example: • Has a budget been allocated for the management/enforcement of this law? • Does Council have sufficient staffing to undertake enforcement? • How many officers will be required and will officers routinely inspect bins? • Will enforcement be complaint-driven? Without adequate resourcing, many provisions may become difficult to enforce consistently. Surely it would be more financially expedient and beneficial for ratepayers' money to be spent on: • better education • extending the opening days/hours at the Bullsbrook and Malaga Recycling Centre • the purchase and placement of more CCTV units at the most common illegal dumping sites, etc.	

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		The population, in general, has huge concerns about our environment. The absence of specific illegal dumping provisions (which is prolific within the CoS), particularly in rural and bushland areas, is concerning. This law presents the potential for unintended consequences, such as increased illegal dumping if services are restricted or removed. If residents are unable to dispose of waste conveniently, some may seek alternative means of disposal. Potential outcomes include: • dumping waste on roadsides • dumping waste in bushland • dumping waste on vacant lots • increased use of public litter bins • stockpiling waste on private property. These behaviours can create greater environmental and public health impacts than the original non-compliance. The absence of dedicated illegal dumping provisions may result in: • continued environmental degradation of bushland, waterways and reserves • increased fire risks associated with dumped waste • harm to native wildlife • visual impacts on community amenity • increased clean-up costs borne by ratepayers • frustration among residents who regularly report dumping incidents. Builder's waste is currently a major problem for the Upper Swan community with rubbish from the building/construction sites in Clementine Estate constantly being blown in public spaces, vacant lots, roadsides, the foreshore of the Ellen Brook, waterways. Historically building/construction waste has caused problems with many building sites not:	

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		• having waste disposal bins with a capacity to contain all waste materials • having a sufficient cover to prevent rubbish or building waste from falling or being blown from the bin • keeping adjoining verge or public place free of waste and offensive materials • removing waste or offensive materials from the land or any adjoining reserve or public place on completion of the building works It has been noted there are no penalties (fines) for breaches of any of the above, which raises the question: What processes will the CoS put in place to alleviate the waste from construction sites? To encourage recycling, we believe consideration must be given to extending the opening hours/days of the Bullsbrook and Malaga Recycling Centres. This would possibly alleviate some of the illegal dumping by way of ratepayers being unable to access the facilities during opening days/hours and then dumping rubbish along roadsides, in parks, and on verges. Perhaps opening one or two evenings per week/month would assist ratepayers whose working hours coincide with the facilities opening days/hours giving greater accessibility.	

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
17	Upper Swan (Resident)	I believe the proposed law is extremely heavy handed and that a better result would be achieved through better education programs. I am unsure of the aim/objectives of the laws - there is nothing in them to discourage illegal dumping which is a huge problem in CoS; there are no penalties for building/construction/developments which also contribute to rubbish on verges; in POSs; along roadsides; along foreshores, on vacant land, etc. I would rather my rates be used to pay for better management of illegal rubbish dumping (including builders rubbish) than such activities like checking out residents' bins for content and cleanliness. I have concerns about how: 1 the laws will be monitored 2 inspections will be carried out 3 the cost to ratepayers for enforcing such laws including legal costs should residents contest any breaches/fines 4 to stop infestation of flies etc Thank you	Theme - Infringements , Illegal Dumping The City acknowledges the importance of education and will continue to use education and engagement as the primary approach to achieving compliance. Where education is unsuccessful or repeated non-compliance occurs, enforcement provides an appropriate mechanism to protect public health, safety and the environment. Enforcement action will be undertaken in accordance with the City's Management Practice regarding enforcement (MP154) and relevant work instructions, with appropriate evidence to support any action. The City provides a range of practical tips and advice on its website to help residents minimise flies within their bins. Illegal dumping is regulated under the Litter Act 1979 and Litter Regulations 1981.
15	Ballajura (Resident)	Section 5 For many years, the City of Swan's infringement amounts for waste-related offences on building sites have been significantly lower than those imposed by many other local governments. As a result, they have not always provided a sufficient deterrent. Where builders fail to provide waste bins on-site, lighter construction waste can be dispersed over a wide area by wind, creating significant amenity impacts and requiring considerable time and resources to clean up. A review of penalties across metropolitan Perth indicates that fines for offences relating to building site waste	Theme - Infringements The modified penalty is intended as an initial compliance measure where a builder fails to provide a waste bin. If compliance is not achieved, the City may pursue enforcement through the courts, with conviction penalties of up to \$10,000 and, for continuing offences, up to \$500 per day.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		management and the provision of compliant waste bins generally average around \$300. Given that this Local Law may remain in place for many years before its next review, I suggest that the proposed modified penalty of \$300 is still too low, both now and in the future, to provide an effective deterrent. There is also a risk that penalties at this level will be viewed by some builders as simply a cost of doing business rather than a meaningful deterrent. If the financial consequences of non-compliance are minimal, there is little incentive to ensure appropriate waste management practices are maintained at all times. In my view, this offence has a greater impact on the community than some other waste-related offences, such as an overloaded bin, and the penalty should reflect that impact. A modified penalty of \$500 for a first offence, with higher penalties for second and subsequent offences, would be more appropriate. The penalties must be of sufficient magnitude to encourage compliance and ensure that builders provide and maintain waste bins on-site at all times, as is commonly expected in other local government areas. Thanks.	
16	Bennett springs (Resident)	Please bring back the bulk roadside collections.	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law While the submission relates to waste management, the matters raised are operational or service delivery issues that are outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
17	Ellenbrook (Resident)	Fines if people are caught illegally dumping rubbish. Including not picking up after their animals solar powered cameras to catch the culprits. Recycling items need to be increased. Green waste bins separate	Theme - Illegal dumping Illegal dumping is regulated under the Litter Act 1979 and Litter Regulations 1981.
18	Beechboro (Resident)	Apologies if this is in the plan and I've missed it. A question -we have a neighbour who regularly puts sofas etc on their verge for pickup and they stay there for months. they were one time chatted about it and they moved the items from the verge to the other side of the footpath ie on their property. It stayed there again for a long time. is there anything in the plan that would stop this from occurring. the rubbish is just as bad on their side of the line as it is on the verge. If there isn't anything dealing with this is it possible to add it.	Theme - Presentation This is covered under Item 2.10 Verge Collections.
19	Beechboro (Resident)	To the City of Swan For nearly two year I witnessed the Fogo initiative to be one of the most short sighted, mismanaged bungle operation take place. I would firstly like to comment that the fogo initiative does not take into consideration young families with dirty nappies festering in the bin for two weeks. This lack of hindsight has created an unnecessary unsanitary hazard to every residency. It has also created new environmental issues such as more flies and maggots forming in summer time. Ravens and Ibis birds also pick at bins overfilled, causing rubbish to spill onto the road. People have also dumped rubbish bags around the suburb, or into other people bins, causing social unrest online.	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law While the submission relates to waste management, the matters raised are operational or service delivery issues that are outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law.

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		I would secondly like to question the validity of the audit performed by the department of environment and water regulation stating that 50% of waste is food and garden waste, while saying 26% is actual waste. It also states that the new three bin system will suit most families. It's obvious with the public backlash that these figures were far from the true statistics. The fallout from the eastern metropolitan regional council just proves that there was considerable lack of hindsight from the city of swan and a lack of cohesion amongst the city councils to work towards the initiative. I would thirdly like to ask why were our original red bins were swapped to make green bins, while we were given smaller red bins, then given the option for bigger red bins after the backlash. Being a resident of the city of Bayswater during their Fogo rollout, they received NEW GREEN BINS with proper ventilation. They also received BIG red bins from the start. I noticed after a few months that residents small bins had broken because of the amount of waste was too heavy. I would like to ask was the council's penny pinching measures worth the repercussions? And the last slap on the face is a rate rise to council residents who have to fork out more for less waste services. Why weren't the residents of the city of swan given the same FOGO roll out as the City of Bayswater? From my point of view, the city of swan used every cost cutting measure to maximise their earnings by reducing their general waste intake by half. I also believe that they promoted the environmental clean green image,	

Schedule of Submissions Waste Local Law 2026 OCM

	Resident	Comment	Officer Comment
		backing it with questionable data from a study that suggests that it should suit most families, but not all.	
20	Lockridge (Resident)	I would like to suggest general waste go back to weekly pickups, having soiled nappies in a bin for 2 weeks is a health hazard	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law While the submission relates to waste management, the matters raised are operational or service delivery issues that are outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law.
21	Lockridge (Resident)	As a family of 3 we struggle with the fortnightly red bin system. With a toddler in nappies it's just so full by the 2 weeks I'm having to give my mum a bag or two of my rubbish to put in her bin in Wanneroo as I just don't have enough room. I've requested a bigger recycling bin and was told I'd receive it in April, I am still waiting for the new recycling bin as we also have a lot of recycle that the standard size isn't big enough. Would be great if the public could utilise the compost that our Fogo goes to for free to then put back on our gardens. I'm currently trying to learn how to make my own compost but know it's not a quick and easy thing to do, along with having a worm farm, having the courses is amazing but for low income earners like myself it's just not achievable to buy the worm farm but I'd really like to give it a go. Perhaps having some free per class would get more people involved.	Theme - Not Applicable to Waste Local Law While the submission relates to waste management, the matters raised are operational or service delivery issues that are outside the scope of the proposed Waste Local Law.